enactr		<u> </u>	
1904 R R R We pluenactr			
1908 R R R We plue nactr			
indivic reasor	oledge the Democratic party to the trment of a law prohibiting any corporation contributing to a campaign fund and any idual from contributing an amount above a phable maximum, and providing for the ication before election of all such		
1912 R D R We plue nactrifrom conditions	tment of a law prohibiting any corporation contributing to a campaign fund and any idual from contributing any amount above a phable maximum.	We favor such additional legislation as may be necessary more effectually to prohibit corporations from contributing funds, directly or indirectly, to campaigns for the nomination or election of the President, the Vice-President, Senators, and Representatives in Congress.	
contril campa presid sum tr contril	avor the prohibition of individual ributions, direct and indirect, to the paign funds of congressmen, senators or dential candidates, beyond a reasonable to be fixed in the law, for both individual ributions and total expenditures, with irements for full publicity.	Nepresentatives in Congress.	
1920 D R R			
1922 R R R			Teapot Dome Scandal
1924 R R		The sale of influence resulting from the holding of public position or from association while in public office or the use of such influence for private gain or advantage is a perversion of public trust and prejudicial to good government. It should be condemned by public opinion and forbidden by law.	
	paign contributions and expenditures.	One of the most effective remedies for this abuse is publicity in all matters touching campaign contributions and expenditures.	
and ex Praction	inuous publicity of political contributions expenditures; strengthening of the Corrupt cices Act and severe penalties for ppropriation of campaign funds.		
1936 D D D	ppropriation of campaign runus.		
1940 D D			
1944 D D D			
1948 D R R			
1952 D D D We ac regula expen	idvocate new legislation to provide effective lation and full disclosure of campaign nditures in elections to Federal office, ding political advertising from any source		
effecti campa	Democratic Party pledges itself to provide tive regulation and full disclosure of paign expenditures and contributions in ions to Federal offices.		
effecti expen financ efforts	Democratic Party favors realistic and tive limitations on contributions and nditures, and full disclosure of campaign using in Federal elections We deplore ts to divide the United States into regional, ous and ethnic groups.		
1964 D D D			

	_	_			
1968 D	D	D	We are alarmed at the growing costs of political	We favor a new Election Reform Act that will apply	
			participation in our country and the consequent	clear, reasonable restraints to political spending	
			reliance of political parties and candidates on	and fund-raising, whether by business, labor or	
			large contributors, and we want to assure full	individuals, ensure timely publication of the	
			public information on campaign expenditures.	financial facts in campaigns, and provide a tax	
			To encourage citizen participation we urge that	deduction for small contributions.	
			limited campaign contributions be made		
			deductible as a credit from the federal income		
			tax.		
1971 R	D	D			Federal Election
					Campaign Act
1972 R	D	D	We recommend a statutory ceiling on political		Watergate break-in
			gifts at a reasonable limit. Publicly owned		
			communications facilities such as television,		
			radio and the postal service should be made		
			available, but on a limited basis, to candidates		
			for federal Office.		
1974 R	D	D			Ford signs campaign
					finance reform law
1976 R	D	D	The Democratic Party has led the fight to take		
			the presidency off the auction block by		
			championing the public financing of presidential		
			elections. The public has responded with		
			enthusiastic use of the \$1 income tax checkoff.		
			Similar steps must now be taken for		
			congressional candidates. We call for legislative		
			action to provide for partial public financing on a		
			matching basis of the congressional elections,		
			and the exploration of further reforms to insure		
			the integrity of the electoral process.		
1980 D	D	D	The limitations on campaign contributions and	Republicans support public policies that encourage	
			the public financing of Presidential elections are	political activity by individual citizens. We support	
			two reforms which have worked very well.	the repeal of those restrictive campaign spending	
			Business political action committees continue to	limitations that tend to create obstacles to local	
			spend excessively, however Close the	grass roots participation in federal elections. We	
			loophole that allows private spending in	also oppose the proposed financing of	
			Presidential elections contrary to the intent of	Congressional campaigns with taxpayers' dollars as	
			the election law reforms.	an effort by the Democratic Party to protect its	
			and election law reforms.	incumbent Members of Congress with a tax	
				subsidy.	
1984 R	D	R	We must work to end political action committee	Republicans believe that strong, competitive	
			funding of federal political campaigns. To	political parties contribute mightily to coherent	
			achieve that, we must enact a system of public	national policies, effective representation, and	
			financing of federal campaigns. At the same	responsive government. Forced taxpayer financing	
			time, our Party should assure that a system of	of campaign activities is political tyranny. We	
			public financing be responsive to the problem of	oppose it In light of the inhibiting role federal	
			under representation of women and minorities	election laws and regulations have had, Congress	
			in elective offices.	should consider abolishing the Federal Election	
			m diceave offices.	Commission.	
1988 R	D	D		Republicans want to broaden involvement in the	
				political process. We oppose government controls	
				that make it harder for average citizens to be	
				politically active. We especially condemn the	
				congressional Democrats' scheme to force	
				_	
				taxpayer funding of campaigns.	

1992 R	D	D		We will require congressional candidates to raise	
1992 K	U	U		most of their funds from individuals within their	
				home constituencies. This will limit outside special-	
				interest money and result in less expensive	
				campaigns, with less padding for incumbents. To	
				the same end, we will strengthen the role of	
				political parties to remove pressure on candidates	
				to spend so much time soliciting funds. We will	
				eliminate political action committees supported by	
				corporations, unions, or trade associations, and	
				restrict the practice of bundling To restore	
				competition in elections by attacking the unfair	
				advantages of incumbency, we will stop	
				incumbents from warding off challengers merely	
				by amassing huge war chests. Congressional	
				candidates will be forbidden from carrying	
				campaign funds from one election to the next. We	
				will oppose arbitrary spending limits—cynical	
				devices which hobble challengers to keep	
				politicians in office.	
1996 D	R	R	The President and the Democratic Party support	We will eliminate made-in-Washington schemes to	
1990 D	N	N	the bipartisan McCain-Feingold campaign	rig the election process under the guise of	
			finance reform bill. It will limit campaign	campaign reform. True reform is indeed needed:	
			spending, curb the influence of PACs and	ending taxpayer subsidies for campaigns,	
			lobbyists, and end the soft money system.	strengthening party structures to guard against	
			Perhaps most important of all, this bill provides	rogue operations, requiring full and immediate	
			free TV time for candidates, so they can talk	disclosure of all contributions, and cracking down	
			directly to citizens about real issues and real	on the indirect support, or "soft money," by which	
			ideas.	special interest groups underwrite their favored	
				candidates	
2000 R	R	R	Al Gore supports John McCain's campaign for	Stop the abuses of corporate and labor "soft"	
			political reform. In fact, the McCain-Feingold bill	money contributions to political parties Level	
			is the very first piece of legislation that a	the playing field by forbidding incumbents to roll	
			President Al Gore will submit to Congress - and	over their leftover campaign funds into a campaign	
			_	for a different office Require full and timely	
			land Then he will go even further - much	disclosure on the Internet of all campaign	
			further. He will insist on tough new lobbying	contributions — so the media and the public can	
			, , , , ,	immediately know who is giving how much to	
			and advocacy by candidates, and a crackdown	whom.	
			on special interest issue ads. Most boldly of all,		
			Al Gore has proposed a public-private, non-		
			partisan Democracy Endowment which will raise		
			money from Americans and finance		
			Congressional elections - with no other contributions allowed to candidates who accept		
			the funding		
2001 R	R	D			
2002 R	R	D			McCain Feingold Act
2004 R	R	R			
2008 R	D	D	We support campaign finance reform to reduce		
			the influence of moneyed special interests,		
			including public financing of campaigns		
			combined with free television and radio time.		
			We will have the wisdom to put the public		
			interest above special interests. As a national		
			party, we will not take any contributions from		
			Political Action Committees during this election.		
2010 D	D	D			SC decides Citizens
					United v. FEC

2012.5	D	2	Mitt Damas da amas tias to conserva	The states of states while do not store at the 1 H o	i
2012 D	R		Mitt Romney's opposition to commonsense campaign finance is nothing less than support for corporate and special-interest takeovers in our elections We support campaign finance reform, by constitutional amendment if necessary. We support legislation to close loopholes and require greater disclosure of campaign spending. President Obama and the national Democratic Party do not accept contributions from federal lobbyists this cycle. We support requiring groups trying to influence elections to reveal their donors so the public will know who's funding the political ads it sees.	The rights of citizenship do not stop at the ballot box. They include the free speech right to devote one's resources to whatever cause or candidate one supports. We oppose any restrictions or conditions that would discourage Americans from exercising their constitutional right to enter the political fray or limit their commitment to their ideals We insist that there should be no regulation of political speech on the Internet.	
2016 D	R		Democrats support a constitutional amendment to overturn the Supreme Court's decisions in Citizens United and Buckley v. Valeo . We need to end secret, unaccountable money in politics by requiring, through executive order or legislation, significantly more disclosure and transparency—by outside groups, federal contractors, and public corporations to their shareholders. We need to amplify the voices of the American people through a small donor matching public financing system.	The rights of citizenship do not stop at the ballot box. Freedom of speech includes the right to devote resources to whatever cause or candidate one supports. We oppose any restrictions or conditions that would discourage citizens from participating in the public square or limit their ability to promote their ideas, such as requiring private organizations to publicly disclose their donors to the government. Limits on political speech serve only to protect the powerful and insulate incumbent officeholders We support repeal of federal restrictions on political parties in McCain-Feingold, raising or repealing contribution limits, protecting the political speech of advocacy groups, corporations, and labor unions, and protecting political speech on the internet.	
2020 R	D		Money is not speech, and corporations are not people. Democrats will fight to pass a Constitutional amendment that will go beyond merely overturning Citizens United and related decisions like <i>Buckley v. Valeo</i> by eliminating all private financing from federal elections We will bring an end to "dark money" by requiring full disclosure of contributors to any group that advocates for or against candidates, and bar 501(c)(4) organizations from spending money on elections.		
2024 D	R	D	influence our elections To fight back, President Biden has called for immediate passage of the DISCLOSE Act, which will require advocacy groups that run ads to influence elections to disclose donors who contribute more than \$10,000, and ban foreign entities from contributing to them. Under President Biden's leadership, the FEC has also finalized a rule expanding political advertising disclaimer requirements for online public communications Democrats will end "dark money" by requiring full disclosure of contributors and ban 501(c)(4) organizations from spending on elections. And, to curb the influence of special interests in our elections, Democrats will prohibit corporate PACs and lobbyists from donating to anyone they lobby.		